

Conference materials  
UDC 537.622, 537.624  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JPM.153.127>

### Micromagnetic modeling of the superparamagnetic fraction of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ composites

A. Yu. Ralin <sup>1</sup> ✉, P. V. Kharitonskii <sup>2</sup>, N. A. Zolotov <sup>2</sup>, K. G. Gareev <sup>2</sup>, Yu. A. Anikieva <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok, Russia;

<sup>2</sup> St. Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI", St. Petersburg, Russia

✉ [ralin.ayu@dvfu.ru](mailto:ralin.ayu@dvfu.ru)

**Abstract:** Modeling of the hysteresis characteristics of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$  composites was performed using the method of magnetic rectangles. Their magnetic properties are well explained within the model of an ensemble of magnetostatically interacting two-phase chemically inhomogeneous particles. It is shown that accounting for the contribution of the superparamagnetic fraction makes it possible to obtain agreement between the theoretical and experimental magnetic characteristics of the samples. The model allows calculating the magnetization reversal fields and magnetizations of the studied composites theoretically and also to show that the main contribution to the remanent magnetization is made by two-phase particles. In addition, a possibility of using this model for samples containing superparamagnetic particles is demonstrated.

**Keywords:** magnetic granulometry, superparamagnetism, micromagnetic modeling, magnetostatic interaction, two-phase particles

**Citation:** Ralin A. Yu., Kharitonskii P. V., Zolotov N. A., Gareev K. G., Anikieva Yu. A., Micromagnetic modeling of the superparamagnetic fraction of composites  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ , St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University Journal. Physics and Mathematics. 15 (3.1) (2022) 162–167. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JPM.153.127>

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

Материалы конференции  
УДК 537.622, 537.624  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JPM.153.127>

### Микромагнитное моделирование суперпарамагнитной фракции в композитах $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$

А. Ю. Ралин <sup>1</sup> ✉, П. В. Харитонский <sup>2</sup>, Н. А. Золотов <sup>2</sup>, К. Г. Гареев <sup>2</sup>, Ю. А. Аникиева <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Дальневосточный Федеральный Университет, г. Владивосток, Россия

<sup>2</sup> Санкт-Петербургский государственный электротехнический университет «ЛЭТИ» имени В. И. Ульянова (Ленина), Санкт-Петербург, Россия

✉ [ralin.ayu@dvfu.ru](mailto:ralin.ayu@dvfu.ru)

**Аннотация.** Методом «магнитных прямоугольников» проведено моделирование гистерезисных характеристик композитов  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$ . Их магнитные свойства хорошо объясняются в рамках модели ансамбля магнитостатически взаимодействующих двухфазных химически неоднородных частиц. Данная модель позволила теоретически рассчитать поля перемагничивания и намагниченности исследуемых композитов, а также показать, что основной вклад в остаточную намагниченность вносят двухфазные частицы. Используемая модель применима для образцов, содержащих суперпарамагнитные частицы.

**Ключевые слова:** магнитная granulometрия, суперпарамагнетизм, микромагнитное моделирование, магнитостатическое взаимодействие, двухфазные частицы

**Ссылка при цитировании:** Ралин А. Ю., Харитонский П. В., Золотов Н. А., Гареев К. Г., Аникиева Ю. А. Микромагнитное моделирование суперпарамагнитной фракции в композитах  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$  // Научно-технические ведомости СПбГПУ. Физико-математические науки. 2022. Т. 15. № 3.1. С. 162–167. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JPM.153.127>

Статья открытого доступа, распространяемая по лицензии CC BY-NC 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

## Introduction

Theoretical works dedicated to ensembles of superparamagnetic (SP) particles often use the approximation of non-interacting particles, assuming their chemical homogeneity. Earlier studies [1, 2] considered  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$  composites, where the presence of a significant fraction of SP particles was found. It was shown that the magnetic properties of the studied samples cannot be explained without accounting for the chemical inhomogeneity of individual particles and the magnetostatic interaction between them, including the SP fraction. Existing micromagnetic modeling methods allow to investigate in detail the magnetic states of individual grains (e.g., [3, 4]). However, significant computational resources are required for considering even small sets of such grains. The approach we adopt allows making a simpler estimate of the magnetic states of individual particles and their ensembles [5, 6].

## Materials and Experimental data

Synthesis of composites based on the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-TiO}_2$  system was carried out by magnetite precipitation in suspension of  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder [1, 2]; 4 g of  $\text{FeCl}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 2 g of  $\text{FeSO}_4\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (molar ratio 2:1) were dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water. Samples T05L, T10L, and T20L were obtained by dispersing  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 g, respectively) into solution, followed by hydrothermal treatment (240 °C, 50 MPa) for 4 hours. Sample T05H was treated under different conditions (470 °C, 42 MPa). Sample T20R was not subjected to temperature treatment.

According to the experimental data [1, 2], titanomagnetites are formed in very small quantities under the specified conditions. Significant content of hematite is detected, indicating significant oxidation of the samples during processing. The lattice period of 0.8362–0.8367 nm is intermediate between the constants of the lattice of maghemite and magnetite.

Since the particles are grouped into conglomerates, embedding of titanium atoms into the ferrimagnetic does not occur on all sides, but only from a limited number of surfaces. For simplicity, we will assume that titanomagnetite is formed only on one side of the ferrimagnetic particle, i.e., chemically heterogeneous two-phase particles are formed. Since there is little titanomagnetite, the corresponding phase is a thin layer. Besides, if the particle size is smaller than the single-domain size, then two-phase particles that are in a SP state should be present.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the samples [1, 2]:  $M_s$  is the saturation magnetization and  $M_{rs}$  is the saturation remanence,  $H_c$  is the coercive force and  $H_{cr}$  is the remanence coercivity.

For the first four samples, the ratios of  $M_{rs}/M_s$  values are in the range of 0.1–0.2 and  $H_{cr}/H_c$  in the range of 2–3. For sample T20R, these ratios are approximately 0.01 and 4, respectively. According to magnetic granulometry data, it can be assumed that the first group of samples is dominated by single- and low-domain particles, whereas in sample T20R by SP particles.

## Theoretical Modeling

For the modeled samples [1, 2], the presence of three groups of particles was assumed: 1) a fraction of chemically inhomogeneous two-phase particles (magnetite/maghemite–titanomagnetite), 2) a weakly magnetic fraction (mainly hematite), and 3) superparamagnetic particles of the first two fractions. Since the spontaneous magnetization  $I_{s1}$  of the first fraction is two orders of magnitude higher than that of the weakly magnetic fraction ( $I_{s2}$ ), the two-phase particles make the main contribution to the saturation remanent magnetization of  $M_{rs}$  samples.

Table 1

Hysteresis characteristics of the samples

Sample	$M_s, \text{A}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{kg}$	$M_{rs}, \text{A}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{kg}$	$\mu_0 H_c, \text{mT}$	$\mu_0 H_{cr}, \text{mT}$	$M_{rs}/M_s$	$H_{cr}/H_c$
T05L	26.37	2.95	5.62	14.92	0.11	2.66
T10L	19.53	2.06	4.77	12.61	0.11	2.65
T20L	14.11	1.92	5.97	13.77	0.14	2.31
T05H	23.79	4.15	8.78	18.32	0.18	2.09
T20R	28.95	0.35	0.51	1.90	0.01	3.73

The first fraction is an ensemble of cubic two-phase particles with an infinitely thin boundary between the phases [5]. Each phase is a homogeneously magnetized crystallographically uniaxial ferrimagnetic (magnetite/maghemite and titanomagnetite). The characteristic size of particle  $a$  ranged from 20 to 80 nm, and the relative thickness of the second phase  $\varepsilon = 0.05\text{--}0.20$ .

To find the magnetic states and critical fields of remagnetization, the free energy was minimized, including magnetocrystalline, magnetostatic, and Zeeman energies. The magnetostatic energy was calculated by the method of magnetic rectangles [5, 6]. In this case, the two-phase particle can be in four states: the magnetic moments of the phases are parallel to each other along ( $n_1$ ) or against ( $n_2$ ) the external magnetic field  $H$  or antiparallel to each other ( $n_3$  and  $n_4$ ). In the absence of an external field, it is possible to determine their relative number in the  $m$ th state:

$$n_m |_{H=0} = A \exp(-E_m / (kT)), \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is found from the normalization condition, in which the sum of  $n_m$  equals unity.

Then the magnetization of the ensemble of two-phase ferrimagnetic particles is [5]:

$$M(\varepsilon, H) = C_1 \left[ I_{s\ sm} (1-\varepsilon)(n_1 - n_2 + n_3 - n_4) + I_{s\ wm} \varepsilon (n_1 - n_2 - n_3 + n_4) \right]. \quad (2)$$

Here  $C_1 = Nv/V$  is the volume concentration of the first fraction ( $N$  and  $v$  are the number and average volume of two-phase particles,  $V$  is the sample volume), and  $I_{s\ sm}$  and  $I_{s\ wm}$  are the effective spontaneous magnetizations of the first and second phases, respectively.

If we assume that the random fields of magnetostatic interaction  $H_i$  are uniformly distributed in the interval from  $-H_{max}$  to  $+H_{max}$ , the calculation of the magnetization of the fraction of two-phase particles with the same  $\varepsilon$  in the first approximation is reduced to the case of non-interacting particles with a shift of the critical fields by  $-H_{max}$  [5]:

$$H_{max} \approx 5C_1 I_{s1} \text{ at } C_1 < 0.07 \text{ and } H_{max} \approx 1.3\sqrt{C_1} I_{s1} \text{ at } C_1 > 0.07, \quad (3)$$

$$I_{s1} = I_{s\ sm} (1-\varepsilon) \pm I_{s\ wm} \varepsilon, \quad K_{u1} = K_{u\ sm} (1-\varepsilon) + K_{u\ wm} \varepsilon.$$

Here  $I_{s1}$  is the average spontaneous magnetization of a two-phase grain. The plus sign corresponds to the state  $n_1 = 1$  (saturation) when the magnetic moments of both phases are oriented along the applied field, and the minus sign indicates the state when the magnetic moments of the phases are directed opposite to each other.  $K_{u\ sm}$  and  $K_{u\ wm}$  are the crystallographic anisotropy constants of the strongly magnetic (magnetite) and less magnetic (titanomagnetite) phases, respectively, and  $K_{u1}$  is the average constant of a two-phase grain (magnetite  $- I_s = 484 \text{ kA/m}$ ,  $K_u = 1.36 \cdot 10^4 \text{ J/m}^3$ ; titanomagnetite ( $x = 0.1$ )  $- I_s = 426 \text{ kA/m}$ ,  $K_u = 2.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ J/m}^3$ ;  $T = 290 \text{ K}$  [7]).

During modeling, the saturation remanence was provided by the strongly magnetic two-phase particles and the weakly magnetic fraction. However, it was possible to agree the theoretical values of the saturation magnetization with the experimental data only in the assumption of the presence of a large number of SP particles in the samples. Then for the first four samples



$$M_s = M_{s1} + M_{s2} + M_{s\,sp}, \quad M_{rs} = M_{rs1} + M_{rs2}. \quad (4)$$

where  $M_{s1}$  and  $M_{rs1}$ ,  $M_{s2}$  and  $M_{rs2}$ ,  $M_{s\,sp}$  are the magnetizations of the corresponding three fractions.

Judging by the hysteresis characteristics (Table 1), the fifth sample (T20R) contains mainly superparamagnetic particles. Therefore, the average particle size of this sample varied in the range of 20–30 nm (for spherical magnetite grains, the single-domain size is 29–36 nm). The contribution of all particles to the saturation magnetization was taken into consideration, and only the particles blocked due to the magnetostatic interaction were included in the remanence. In this case, the two-phase particle model was also used for the strongly magnetic fraction.

Magnetostatic interaction results in that a particle with the volume  $v > v_b(H_i)$  can contribute to the remanence. Here  $v_b(H_i)$  is the volume of a particle whose magnetic moment remains stable when the particle is exposed to the interaction field  $H_i$  [8]. In this case, the blocking volume is

$$v_b(H_i) = \begin{cases} v_b / (1 + |H + H_i| / H_0)^2, & |H + H_i| \leq H_0; \\ v_b / (4 |H + H_i| / H_0), & |H + H_i| > H_0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Here  $H_0 \approx H_{cr}$  is the magnetization reversal field, and  $v_b \approx 50kT / (I_s H_0)$  is the blocking volume in the zero field [9]. For interacting SP particles, the time-averaged magnetic moment is [8]:

$$m = v I_s \tanh \left[ v_b(H_i) I_s |H + H_i| / kT \right] = v I_{s\,sp}, \quad (6)$$

where  $I_{s\,sp}$  is the effective spontaneous magnetization of two-phase SP particles blocked due to magnetostatic interaction, which coincides with  $I_s = I_{s1}$  in the saturation field, and when calculating the saturation remanence is about a tenth of the value. Then for the SP sample T20R

$$M_s = M_{s1b} + M_{s2b} + M_{s\,nb}, \quad M_{rs} = M_{rs1b} + M_{rs2b}, \quad (7)$$

where  $M_{s1b}$  and  $M_{rs1b}$ ,  $M_{s2b}$  and  $M_{rs2b}$  correspond to the blocked particles and  $M_{s\,nb}$  to the unblocked particles. The magnetization reversal fields of the SP particles blocked by the interaction can be estimated as [10]:

$$H_{0\,sp} = H_{0\,sd} \left\{ 1 - [v_b(H_i) / v]^{1/2} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where  $H_{0\,sd}$  is the magnetization reversal field of a single-domain particle, and the value of  $v$  lies in the range from  $v_b$  (without regard to the interaction) to the critical volume of the single-domain. Since most of the particles in the sample T20R are superparamagnetic, then  $v \approx v_b$ .

## Results and Discussion

After calculating the fields  $H_0$  of the strongly magnetic fraction using the uniaxial two-phase particle model and provided that  $H_0 = H_{cr1}$ , we estimated the coercive force of this group of particles  $H_{c1}$ . The value of the coercive force in terms of remanent magnetization for two-phase particles, which in our case are in two possible states  $n_1$  and  $n_3$ , is equal to:

$$H_{cr1} = H(n_1, n_3) \cdot (1 - \Delta) + H(n_1) \cdot \Delta, \quad \Delta = [M(n_1) - M(n_1, n_3)] / M(n_1). \quad (9)$$

Here  $H(n_1, n_3)$  and  $H(n_1)$ ,  $M(n_1, n_3)$  and  $M(n_1)$  are the reversal fields and magnetizations of two-phase particles (Eq. (2)) in the states  $n_1$  and  $n_3$  and in the state  $n_1 = 1$ , respectively. It should be noted that  $M(n_1) = M_{s1}$ . Let us estimate the coercive force of this fraction of particles as  $H_{c1} = (H_{cr1} - H_{max}) / 3$ , where the factor 1/3 accounts for the disordering of magnetic moments' orientations when the external field is removed.

Further on, using the experimental values of  $H_c$  and  $H_{cr}$  of the sample, we estimate the values of the coercive force and the remanence coercivity for the weakly magnetic fraction with the volume concentration  $C_2$  ( $\delta_1 = C_1 / (C_1 + C_2)$  and  $\delta_2 = C_2 / (C_1 + C_2)$  are the relative concentrations):

$$H_{c2} = (H_c - H_{c1} \cdot \delta_1) / \delta_2, H_{cr2} = (H_{cr} - H_{cr1} \cdot \delta_1) / \delta_2. \quad (10)$$

Saturation remanence of the fraction of two-phase ferrimagnetic particles ( $i = 1$ ) and weakly magnetic fraction ( $i = 2$ ) can be written as follows [11]:

$$M_{rsi} = \frac{C_i I_{rsi}}{(C_i + C_{i\ sp}) I_{si}} \cdot \frac{H_{ci}}{H_{cri}} \cdot M_{si}, \quad (11)$$

where  $C_{i\ sp}$  is the concentration of SP particles,  $I_{rsi}$  and  $I_{si}$  are the effective magnetizations in  $M_{rs}$  and  $M_s$  states, respectively, and their ratio characterizes the decrease of remanence due to chemical and magnetic inhomogeneities (see Eq. (3)). For the SP sample T20R, the same model of interacting uniaxial two-phase particles was used, taking into consideration Eqs. (6-8).

Table 2 shows the calculated magnetizations for the studied samples. The best agreement with the experiment was obtained with the following parameters. For the first four samples:  $a = 60$  nm (greater than the single-domain size of magnetite),  $\varepsilon = 0.05-0.20$  (according to the amount of  $\text{TiO}_2$  added during synthesis 0.5–2.0 g),  $I_{s\ sm} = 400$  kA/m and  $I_{s\ wm} = 380$  kA/m,  $I_{s2} = 3$  kA/m (corresponding to hematite). For the SP sample T20R:  $a = 30$  nm (close to the single-domain size),  $\varepsilon = 0.05$ ,  $I_{s\ sm} = 15$  kA/m and  $I_{s\ wm} = 13$  kA/m (see Eqs. (3) and (6)),  $I_{s2} = 3$  kA/m.

Table 2

Theoretical values of magnetizations,  $\text{A} \cdot \text{m}^2/\text{kg}$

Sample	$M_s = M_{s1} + M_{s2} + M_{s\ sp}$		$M_{rs} = M_{rs1} + M_{rs2}$	
T05L	26.37	10.07 + 0.26 + 16.04	2.95	2.89 + 0.06
T10L	19.53	7.26 + 0.24 + 12.03	2.06	2.02 + 0.04
T20L	14.11	6.20 + 0.30 + 7.61	1.92	1.84 + 0.08
T05H	23.79	22.14 + 0.60 + 1.05	4.15	3.79 + 0.36
	$M_s = M_{s1\ b} + M_{s2\ b} + M_{s\ nb}$		$M_{rs} = M_{rs1\ b} + M_{rs2\ b}$	
T20R	28.95	23.74 + 0.51 + 4.70	0.35	0.23 + 0.12

As can be seen from the table, the calculated total values of  $M_s$  and  $M_{rs}$  of the samples (Table 1) coincide with the experimental values. In all the samples except for the sample T05H obtained at a higher temperature, a large fraction of SP particles is present. In the sample T20R, SP particles clearly dominate, with a significant portion of them blocked due to magnetostatic interaction.

For the first three samples, the theoretical values of the ferrimagnetic volume concentrations of the fractions under consideration are in the following ranges:  $C_1 = 0.03-0.05$ ,  $C_2 = 0.16-0.20$ ,  $C_{sp} = 0.27-0.34$ . For the sample T05H:  $C_1 = 0.11$ ,  $C_2 = 0.40$ ,  $C_{sp} = 0.02$ ; such values are apparently connected with enlargement of particles and oxidation of magnetite into hematite upon heating. For the SP sample T20R:  $C_1 = 0.12$ ,  $C_2 = 0.34$ ,  $C_{sp} = 0.09$ ; here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  correspond to the blocked particles of the first two fractions,  $C_{sp}$  to the unblocked ones. The second fraction makes a relatively significant contribution to  $M_{rs}$  only in the case of the samples T05H and T20R.

### Conclusion

The hysteresis characteristics of the simulated composites are well explained within the model of an ensemble of magnetostatically interacting two-phase particles. The superparamagnetic fraction largely determines the magnetic properties of the samples, and its consideration makes it possible to agree their theoretical and experimental characteristics.

Isolation of three fractions of ferrimagnetic particles: strongly magnetic chemically inhomogeneous particles (magnetite/maghemite–titanomagnetite), weakly magnetic particles (hematite, goethite, and other iron hydroxides) and superparamagnetic particles belonging to the first two fractions, served as a basis for theoretical modeling. Assuming a uniform spatial distribution



of ferrimagnetic particles, we used a random magnetostatic interaction field approximation and obtained theoretical estimates of average (effective) spontaneous magnetizations  $I_{s1}$  and  $I_{s2}$ , remanence coercivities  $H_{cr1}$  and  $H_{cr2}$ , and coercive forces  $H_{c1}$  and  $H_{c2}$  of the corresponding particle fractions, which are in good agreement with the experimental data.

The model of two-phase interacting particles allows calculating the magnetization reversal fields and magnetizations of the studied composites theoretically and to show that the main contribution to the remanence is made by chemically inhomogeneous particles. The possibility of using this model for samples containing superparamagnetic particles is demonstrated.

## REFERENCES

1. Kharitonskii P., Kirillova S., Gareev K., et al., Magnetic granulometry and Mössbauer spectroscopy of synthetic  $\text{Fe}_m\text{O}_n\text{-TiO}_2$  composites, IEEE Trans. Magn. 56 (2020) 7200209.
2. Kharitonskii P. V., Kosterov A. A., Gurylev A. K., et al., Magnetic states of two-phase synthesized  $\text{Fe}_m\text{O}_n\text{-Fe}_{3-x}\text{Ti}_x\text{O}_4$  particles: experimental and theoretical analysis, Phys. Solid State. 62 (2020) 1691–1694.
3. Bisotti M.-A., Cortés-Ortucó D., Pepper R., et al., A Finite Difference Atomistic and Micromagnetic Simulation Package, Journal of Open Research Software. 6 (2018) 22.
4. Conbhuí P., Williams W., Fabian K., et al., Micromagnetic Earth Related Robust Interpreted Language Laboratory, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems. 19 (2018) 1080–1106.
5. Afremov L. L., Ralin A. Yu., Kharitonskiy P. V., Specific features of the magnetization curves of an ensemble of chemically inhomogeneous two-phase grains, Izv. Phys. Solid Earth. 31 (1996) 533–537.
6. Kharitonskii P. V., Frolov A. M., Modeling of magnetostatic interaction in multilayer structures, Izv. vuzov. Fizika, 53 (2010) 197–200.
7. Akimoto S., Katsura T., Yoshida M., Magnetic properties of the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-Fe}_2\text{TiO}_4$  system and their change with oxidation, J. Geomagn. Geoelectr. 9 (1957) 165–178.
8. Kharitonskii P. V., Gareev K. G., Ionin S. A., et al., Microstructure and magnetic state of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-SiO}_2$  colloidal particles, J. Magn. 20 (2015) 221–228.
9. Néel L., Théorie du traonage magnétique des ferromagnétiques en grains fins avec application aux terres cuites, Annales de Géophysique. 5 (1949) 99–136.
10. Morrish A. H., The Physical Principles of Magnetism, IEEE Press, New York, 2001.
11. Kharitonskii P., Bobrov N., Gareev K., et al., Magnetic granulometry, frequency-dependent susceptibility and magnetic states of particles of magnetite ore from the Kovdor deposit, JMMM. 553 (2022) 169279.

## THE AUTHORS

**RALIN Andrey Yu.**

ralin.ayu@dvfu.ru

ORCID: 0000-0001-6723-7246

**KHARITONSKII Petr V.**

peterkh@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0002-0448-7624

**ZOLOTOV Nikita A.**

nikita13300@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0003-4225-8723

**GAREEV Kamil G.**

kkgareev@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0001-6047-1208

**ANIKIEVA Yulia A.**

y.anikieva111@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-6151-1021

*Received 14.05.2022. Approved after reviewing 13.06.2022. Accepted 13.06.2022.*